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Methodology

This report of a nationally representative telephone survey was conducted among a sample of 809 adults.

The survey was conducted during the period of December 26-29, 2012. Random samples of landline and cell phone telephone numbers were used.

The margin of error for the survey is plus or minus three percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.
Executive Summary
Executive Summary

Overall, this survey shows that Americans are fine with more energy production in the U.S., but they are not willing to sacrifice clean water and air in the process. The poll findings indicate that Americans want political leadership that takes a balanced approach to production of energy – protecting clean water and air, and also promoting expanded energy efficiency and clean energy sources. No gap in views is found when Americans are asked in the “abstract” about energy-wide issues and when the focus then shifts to a concrete energy issue, such as shale gas fracking/exporting of liquefied natural gas.

LEADERSHIP ON ENERGY-WIDE ISSUES

Americans think strong leadership on energy, water and climate issues is important ...

- 94 percent want it on balancing calls for more energy production in U.S. with protecting clean water and air. Nearly three out of four Americans (73 percent) say that it is “very important” to find this balance. Solid bipartisan support exists for a balanced approach, including 92 percent of Republicans, 87 percent of Independents, and 98 percent of Democrats.

- 86 percent want it on addressing climate change and extreme weather. Relatively little partisan difference is seen on this point, with support for action coming from 75 percent of Republicans, 82 percent of Independents, and 95 percent of Democrats.

- 86 percent want it on shifting from coal and nuclear energy to wind and solar. Support for this approach exists across party lines, including 72 percent of Republicans, 83 percent of Independents, and 97 percent of Democrats.

- 86 percent want it on standing up to pressure from coal, oil, natural gas, and nuclear power lobbyists.
Executive Summary

- 91 percent of Americans feel it is important that their member of Congress demonstrate leadership on a “national agenda for clean energy and protecting America’s water and air.” More than two out of three Americans (68 percent) say this leadership is “very important.” The vast majority of Republicans (85 percent), Independents (87 percent), and Democrats (96 percent) agree on the need for such leadership.

- 86 percent of Americans think “the availability of ample clean drinking water should be a top national priority in the U.S.”

- 92 percent of Americans think “U.S. energy planning and decision making” should be based on “a comprehensive understanding of what our national water resources are” – a national water roadmap that Congress asked for, but which was never produced. No meaningful political divide is seen here, with the water roadmap attracting the support of 92 percent of Republicans, 89 percent of Independents, and 94 percent of Democrats.

- 80 percent of Americans think we “should get the facts first about health and environmental risks before the potential damage is done by energy production.” This “precautionary principle” approach is supported by 67 percent of Republicans, 82 percent of Independents, and 89 percent of Democrats.

- 72 percent of Americans say that “recent extreme weather events” – including Hurricane Sandy, the drought and Western wildfires – “are indications that climate change is happening now. It is time for national politicians to get serious about climate change.” Half of Americans strongly agree with this statement. In a rare example of clear partisan divide in the survey findings, Independents (71 percent) and Democrats (90 percent) are far more likely than Republicans (44 percent) to agree with this proposition.
Executive Summary

- How concerned are Americans about the possible impact of “drought and shortages brought on by the diversion of water for energy and other purposes”?
  - 91 percent are concerned about higher food prices.
  - 90 percent are concerned about “possible shortages of safe drinking water.” More than three out of four Americans (76 percent) are “very concerned” about such shortages.
  - 89 percent are concerned about higher gasoline prices.
  - 87 percent are concerned about increased water utility bills.
  - 73 percent are concerned about diminished recreation opportunities.
- 74 percent think a grassroots movement will be needed “to counter the influence of energy industry lobbyists and campaign contributions on politicians in Washington, D.C."

LEADERSHIP ON FRACKING/EXPORTING SHALE GAS

When the question of leadership shifts from the abstract level to the concrete level, Americans remain consistent in their views:
- 88 percent want leadership when it comes to exercising caution on exporting energy – such as natural gas – that could boost China and other economies, but hurt U.S. consumers by raising energy and manufacturing costs at home. Nearly identical support levels were seen here along partisan lines: 88 percent of Republicans, 86 percent of Independents, 87 percent of Democrats.
Executive Summary

- 86 percent of Americans “support more studies of the health and environmental consequences of the chemicals” used in fracking. Supporters of this approach include 81 percent of Republicans, 84 percent of Independents, and 89 percent of Democrats.

- Only 17 percent of Americans favor development of U.S. energy resources for export purposes “to advance U.S. interests as a global economic power” versus 81 percent who think “America should produce enough energy to meet America’s needs in a way that doesn’t harm our clean water and air ...”

- 62 percent of Americans oppose “expanding U.S. production of shale gas for use by other nations” first before the health research is done, as recommended recently by more than 100 U.S. health professionals. This approach is supported by about half (49 percent) of Republicans, and over two thirds of Independents and Democrats, at 67 percent and 69 percent, respectively. Women support this approach over men by a 13-point margin, 68 percent versus 55 percent.
Executive Summary

- 88 percent want leadership on avoiding pressure for U.S. energy production that could result in higher fuel prices for Americans.
- Three quarters of Americans have heard of fracking, with 51 percent saying they are very or somewhat familiar with it.
- 79 percent of Americans are concerned about fracking “as it relates to water quality.” Women are much more likely than men to be concerned about fracking – 84 percent versus 73 percent, respectively.
Detailed Findings
Importance of Strong Leadership on Energy Issues

A1. I want to ask you about your views on leadership. When you think about President Obama and the Congress, how do you feel about the need for strong leadership on the following issues? For each issue, would you say strong leadership is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important.

- Balancing calls for more energy production in the U.S. with protecting our clean water and air
  - Somewhat important: 21%
  - Very important: 73%
  - Total: 94%

- Caution when it comes to exporting energy--such as natural gas--that could boost China and other economies, but hurt U.S. consumers by raising...
  - Somewhat important: 19%
  - Very important: 68%
  - Total: 88%

- Avoiding pressure for U.S. energy production that could result in higher fuel prices for Americans
  - Somewhat important: 19%
  - Very important: 68%
  - Total: 88%

- Standing up to pressure from lobbyists for the coal, oil, natural gas, and nuclear power industries
  - Somewhat important: 18%
  - Very important: 68%
  - Total: 86%

- Shifting America from reliance on dirty or dangerous power sources, such as coal and nuclear, to clean energy sources including wind...
  - Somewhat important: 23%
  - Very important: 63%
  - Total: 86%

- Addressing climate change and extreme weather disasters, including hurricanes, drought, and wildfires
  - Somewhat important: 23%
  - Very important: 63%
  - Total: 86%

Base: 809

ORC International
Importance of Strong Leadership on Energy Issues
By Party Identification

Balancing calls for more energy production in the U.S. with protecting our clean water and air

Caution when it comes to exporting energy--such as natural gas--that could boost China and other economies, but hurt U.S. consumers by raising energy and manufacturing costs at home

Avoiding pressure for U.S. energy production that could result in higher fuel prices for Americans

Standing up to pressure from lobbyists for the coal, oil, natural gas, and nuclear power industries

Shifting America from reliance on dirty or dangerous power sources, such as coal and nuclear, to clean energy sources including wind and solar

Addressing climate change and extreme weather disasters, including hurricanes, drought, and wildfires

A1. I want to ask you about your views on leadership. When you think about President Obama and the Congress, how do you feel about the need for strong leadership on the following issues? For each issue, would you say strong leadership is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important.

Base: 809
Most Americans (91%) See Congressional Leadership On Clean Energy As Important

A2 How important do you think it is that your Member of Congress and U.S. Senators demonstrate leadership on the national agenda for clean energy and protecting America’s clean water and air? Would you say…

Base: 809

Very/Somewhat Important -- by Party

- Democrat: 96%
- Independent: 87%
- Republican: 85%

Very important: 68%
Somewhat important: 23%
Not very important: 6%
Not at all important: 3%
Almost three quarters (74%) agree that ‘A grassroots movement of Americans is necessary to counter the influence of energy industry lobbyists and campaign contributions on politicians in Washington, D.C.’ is important.
Ninety-two percent agree that ‘U.S. energy planning and decision making must be made with full knowledge and understanding about the availability of water regionally and locally, and the impact this water use from specific energy choices has on their economies, including agricultural production.’

Presently, the federal government does not have a comprehensive understanding of what our national water resources are. Nevertheless, major taxpayer subsidies and electric power ratepayer investments are being made in water-intensive energy sources. Knowing this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? ‘U.S. energy planning and decision making must be made with full knowledge and understanding about the availability of water regionally and locally, and the impact this water use from specific energy choices has on their economies, including agricultural production.’ Do you...
Seventy-two percent agree that ‘Recent extreme weather events—such as Hurricane Sandy, wildfires in the West and worsening drought throughout much of the nation—are indications that climate change is happening now. It is time for national politicians to get serious about climate change.’
Views on U.S. Energy Independence

A6 Which of the following definitions of 'energy independence' comes closest to your view?

By Party

- **Democrat**
  - Produce as much energy as possible: 12%
  - Produce enough clean energy to meet needs: 87%

- **Independent**
  - Produce as much energy as possible: 23%
  - Produce enough clean energy to meet needs: 75%

- **Republican**
  - Produce as much energy as possible: 21%
  - Produce enough clean energy to meet needs: 74%

---

America should produce as much energy as possible and as quickly as possible for use around the world in order to advance U.S. interests as a global economic power

America should produce enough clean energy to meet America's needs in a way that doesn't harm our clean water and air so that we don't have to depend on other countries for a vital resource

Don't know/not sure

1%

Neither

2%

Base: 809
Best Way for America to Proceed on Energy Policy

Drilling and tapping U.S. gas and oil reserves, allowing America to become an energy exporter, without a similar emphasis on more energy efficient and clean energy production in the U.S.

71%

Neither 2%

Don't know/not sure 1%

By Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Responsible Energy development</th>
<th>Drilling and tapping oil reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A7 Which of the following approaches do you think is the best way for America to proceed on its energy policy?

Base: 809
Eight in 10 say America should follow the “Precautionary Principle”

Some/Other people say that America should produce energy without restrictions if health and environmental dangers have not already been documented. Some/other people say that America should follow the ‘precautionary principle’, meaning that the health and environmental risks, if any, of energy production should be determined first before the potential damage is done. Which approach do you think makes the most sense? Would you say…

By Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Get facts first</th>
<th>Not wait on risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: 809
Three quarters (77%) had heard of “fracking,” with 51% reporting that they are very/somewhat familiar with it.

Prior to this survey, how aware would you say you were about ‘fracking’ as an issue?

Very familiar: 19%
Somewhat familiar: 32%
Not very familiar: 13%
Just heard the term: 13%
Never heard of it: 23%

Very/Somewhat Familiar -- by Party
- Democrat: 51%
- Independent: 44%
- Republican: 53%

Base: 809

Prior to this survey, how aware would you say you were about ‘fracking’ as an issue?
Most Americans (79%) are concerned about fracking as it relates to water quality.

Don't know: 1%
Not at all concerned: 10%
Not very concerned: 11%
Somewhat concerned: 31%
Very concerned: 48%

Very/Somewhat Concerned -- by Party
- Democrat: 91%
- Independent: 76%
- Republican: 61%

A20 Still thinking of the natural gas drilling process sometimes referred to as 'fracking', how concerned are you about this issue as it relates to water quality?

Base: 809
Three-quarters of Americans (78%) support disclosure of chemicals used in natural gas drilling.

Currently, Congress and most states do not require energy companies that drill for natural gas to disclose the chemicals used in the process. Do you support or oppose requiring energy companies to disclose the chemicals used in drilling for natural gas? Would you say you...

Base: 809

Strongly/Somewhat Agree -- by Party

- Democrat: 78%
- Independent: 76%
- Republican: 79%
Nearly 9 out of ten (86%) Americans support more studies of the health and environmental consequences of fracking.
Concern About Impacts of Drought and Shortages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Somewhat Concerned</th>
<th>Very Concerned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher food prices</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible shortages of safe drinking water</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher gasoline prices due to rising ethanol costs</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased water utility bills</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimished recreation opportunities</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I am going to go through a list of possible harmful impacts in the United States as a result of drought and shortages brought on by the diversion of water for energy and other purposes. How concerned are you about each of the following? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?
Concern About Impacts of Drought and Shortages—By Political Party

- Higher food prices: 91% Republican, 84% Independent, 94% Democrat
- Possible shortages of safe drinking water: 83% Republican, 82% Independent, 97% Democrat
- Higher gasoline prices due to rising ethanol costs: 89% Republican, 83% Independent, 90% Democrat
- Increased water utility bills: 84% Republican, 78% Independent, 91% Democrat
- Diminished recreation opportunities: 64% Republican, 69% Independent, 80% Democrat

A13 I am going to go through a list of possible harmful impacts in the United States as a result of drought and shortages brought on by the diversion of water for energy and other purposes. How concerned are you about each of the following? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Base: 809
62 percent of Americans oppose “expanding U.S. production of shale gas for use by other nations” first before the health research is done.

Don’t know: 2%
Strongly oppose: 39%
Somewhat oppose: 23%
Somewhat support: 20%
Strongly support: 16%

Base: 809

Recently more than 100 leading medical professionals urged that the United States not proceed with sending U.S. shale gas overseas until health research could be done to determine the extent of the medical dangers that fracking poses to Americans. These medical professionals recommend that the White House should get the health facts first before significantly expanding extraction of shale gas for shipment to other nations. Knowing this information, do you support or oppose expanding U.S. production of shale gas for use by other nations before the recommended research is done? Would you say you…

Strongly/Somewhat Oppose -- by Gender
- Male: 55%
- Female: 68%

Strongly/Somewhat Oppose -- by Party
- Democrat: 69%
- Independent: 67%
- Republican: 49%
Ample clean water should be a top national priority

By Party

- **Democrat**
  - Should NOT be top priority: 6%
  - Should be top priority: 94%

- **Independent**
  - Should NOT be top priority: 23%
  - Should be top priority: 75%

- **Republican**
  - Should NOT be top priority: 21%
  - Should be top priority: 78%

The availability of ample clean water should be a top national priority for the U.S.

A15 Which of the following statements BEST reflects your views? Would you say...

Base: 809
Energy sources—such as natural gas, coal, tar sands, and nuclear power—require large amounts of water, which compete with other industries such as agriculture, and have raised concerns about resulting contamination of drinking water sources and the overall depletion of scarce water resources. Which of the following statements BEST expresses your view about where America should focus its energy production in the future? Would you say…

Base: 809
Some current energy sources—including coal-fired power plants, nuclear reactors, natural gas, oil, and so on—require large amounts of water for mining, drilling, and the actual power generation process. In many cases, water that is not consumed entirely in producing these energy sources is returned to its source warmed or polluted. By contrast, wind and solar power require very little water to generate renewable power. Which of the following statements do you agree with most? Would you say…

- Rely on current energy sources
- Alternative energy sources

A17: With all the current concern about drought and the risk of water shortages, America needs to start focusing more on alternative energy sources, such as wind and solar, that require less water.
1 Demographic Make-up (Weighted)

**Gender**
- Female: 51%
- Male: 49%

**Age**
- 18-34: 30%
- 35-54: 35%
- 55+: 33%
- No Response: 3%

**Party**
- Republican (w/leaners): 34%
- Democrat (w/leaners): 48%
- Independent: 12%

**Race/Ethnicity**
- Afric. American/Hispanic: 25%
- Non-Hispanic White: 65%

**Region**
- West: 23%
- South: 37%
- Mid-West: 22%
- Northeast: 19%

Base: 809
Questionnaire
On another subject…

A1 I want to ask you about your views on leadership. When you think about President Obama and the Congress, how do you feel about the need for strong leadership on the following issues?

For each issue, would you say strong leadership is very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important? [RANDOMIZE ITEMS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.</th>
<th>Addressing climate change and extreme weather disasters, including hurricanes, drought, and wildfires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Very important 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Somewhat important 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Not very important 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Not at all important 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B.</th>
<th>Balancing calls for more energy production in the U.S. with protecting our clean water and air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Very important 73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Somewhat important 21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Not very important 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Not at all important 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C.</th>
<th>Shifting America from reliance on dirty or dangerous power sources, such as coal and nuclear, to clean energy sources including wind and solar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Very important 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Somewhat important 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Not very important 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Not at all important 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Standing up to pressure from lobbyists for the coal, oil, natural gas, and nuclear power industries

01 Very important 68%
02 Somewhat important 18%
03 Not very important 8%
04 Not at all important 4%
99 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE 3%

E. Avoiding pressure for U.S. energy production that could result in higher fuel prices for Americans

01 Very important 68%
02 Somewhat important 19%
03 Not very important 7%
04 Not at all important 3%
99 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE 3%

F. Caution when it comes to exporting energy – such as natural gas – that could boost China and other economies, but hurt U.S. consumers by raising energy and manufacturing costs at home

01 Very important 68%
02 Somewhat important 19%
03 Not very important 6%
04 Not at all important 4%
99 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE 3%
A2  How important do you think it is that your Member of Congress and U.S. Senators demonstrate leadership on the national agenda for clean energy and protecting America’s clean water and air?  Would you say…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Not very important</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A3  Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement.

‘A grassroots movement of Americans is necessary to counter the influence of energy industry lobbyists and campaign contributions on politicians in Washington, D.C.’

Would you say you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Presently, the federal government does not have a comprehensive understanding of what our national water resources are. Nevertheless, major taxpayer subsidies and electric power ratepayer investments are being made in water-intensive energy sources. Knowing this, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

‘U.S. energy planning and decision making must be made with full knowledge and understanding about the availability of water regionally and locally, and the impact this water use from specific energy choices has on their economies, including agricultural production.’

Do you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Strongly agree  63%
02 Somewhat agree  29%
03 Somewhat disagree  4%
04 Strongly disagree  3%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  2%

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: ‘Recent extreme weather events -- such as Hurricane Sandy, wildfires in the West and worsening drought throughout much of the nation -- are indications that climate change is happening now. It is time for national politicians to get serious about climate change.’

Do you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Strongly agree  50%
02 Somewhat agree  21%
03 Somewhat disagree  11%
04 Strongly disagree  16%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  1%
A6  Which of the following definitions of ‘energy dependence’ comes closest to your view?
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)
[ROTATE 01-02]

01  America should produce as much energy as possible and as quickly as possible for use around the world in order to advance U.S.
interests as a global economic power (or)  17%
02  America should produce enough energy to meet America’s needs in a way that doesn’t harm our clean water and air so that we
don’t have to depend on other countries for a vital resource (or)  81%
98  NEITHER OF THESE  2%
99  DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  1%

A7  Which of the following approaches do you think is the best way for America to proceed on its energy policy?
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)
[ROTATE 01-02]

01  Drilling and tapping U.S. gas and oil reserves, allowing America to become an energy exporter, without a similar emphasis on
more energy efficient and clean energy production in the U.S. (or)  25%
02  Allowing for responsible U.S. energy development, but also placing an emphasis on national self sufficiency through increased
reliance on energy efficiency and the development of regional and local clean energy sources, such as wind and solar power (or)
71%
98  NEITHER OF THESE  2%
99  DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  1%
(Some/Other) people say that America should produce energy without restrictions if health and environmental dangers have not already been documented.

(Some/Other) people say that America should follow the ‘precautionary principle’, meaning that the health and environmental risks, if any, of energy production should be determined first before the potential damage is done.

Which approach do you think makes the most sense? Would you say…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

[ROTATE 01-02 IN SAME ORDER AS QUESTION STATEMENTS]

01 America should focus on energy production and not wait for the science on potential health and environmental risks (or) 17%
02 America should get the facts first about health and environmental risks before the potential damage is done by energy production (or) 80%
98 NEITHER OF THESE 2%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%

The following questions are about a natural gas drilling process sometimes referred to as ‘fracking’, which requires large amounts of water. ‘Fracking’ involves blasting millions of gallons of water mixed with chemicals and sand into the ground to release natural gas from rock formations thousands of feet underground. Concerned homeowners and others say the process can poison drinking water supplies, but oil and gas industry representatives say there’s no proof that ‘fracking’ chemicals have contaminated drinking water.

Prior to this survey, how aware would you say you were about ‘fracking’ as an issue? Would you say you were…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Very familiar with the issue 19%
02 Somewhat familiar 32%
03 Not very familiar 13%
04 You just heard the term fracking, but don’t know much about it 13%
05 Or, you never heard of it 23%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE *
A10  Still thinking of the natural gas drilling process sometimes referred to as ‘fracking’, how concerned are you about this issue as it relates to water quality?

Are you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Very concerned 48%
02 Somewhat concerned 31%
03 Not very concerned 11%
04 Not at all concerned 10%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%

A11  Currently, Congress and most states do not require energy companies that drill for natural gas to disclose the chemicals used in the process. Do you support or oppose requiring energy companies to disclose the chemicals used in drilling for natural gas?

Would you say you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Strongly support 61%
02 Somewhat support 17%
03 Somewhat oppose 9%
04 Strongly oppose 11%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%

A12  Do you support more studies of the health and environmental consequences of the chemicals used in natural gas drilling?

Would you say you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Strongly support 60%
02 Somewhat support 26%
03 Somewhat oppose 8%
04 Strongly oppose 5%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%
A13 I am going to go through a list of possible harmful impacts in the United States as a result of drought and shortages brought on by the diversion of water for energy and other purposes. How concerned are you about each of the following?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

[RANDOMIZE ITEMS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Possible shortages of safe drinking water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Higher food prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Higher gasoline prices due to rising ethanol costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Increased water utility bills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Concerned Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very concerned</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat concerned</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very concerned</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not concerned at all</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Diminished recreation opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Concerned Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very concerned</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat concerned</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very concerned</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not concerned at all</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the next few questions, we will use the term ‘shale gas’ to refer to natural gas from the extraction process known as ‘fracking’.
A14  Recently, more than 100 leading medical professionals urged that the United States not proceed with sending U.S. shale gas overseas until health research could be done to determine the extent of the medical dangers that fracking poses to Americans. These medical professionals recommended that the White House should get the health facts first before significantly expanding extraction of shale gas for shipment to other nations.

Knowing this information, do you support or oppose expanding U.S. production of shale gas for use by other nations before the recommended research is done?

Would you say you…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)

01 Strongly support 16%
02 Somewhat support 20%
03 Somewhat oppose 23%
04 Strongly oppose 39%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 2%

A15  Which of the following statements BEST reflects your views? Would you say…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)
[ROTATE 01-02]

01 The availability of ample clean water should be a top national priority for the U.S. (or) 86%
02 The issue of ample clean water should NOT be a top national priority for the U.S. (or) 13%
99 DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE 1%
A16  Energy sources – such as natural gas, coal, tar sands, and nuclear power – require large amounts of water, which compete with other industries such as agriculture, and have raised concerns about resulting contamination of drinking water sources and the overall depletion of scarce water resources.

Which of the following statements BEST expresses your view about where America should focus its energy production in the future? Would you say…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)
[ROTATE 01-02]

01  Water shortages and the availability of clean drinking water are real concerns. America should put the emphasis on first developing new energy sources that require less water and result in lower water pollution (or) 76%
02  America should proceed first with developing energy sources even if they may have water pollution and water shortage downsides (or) 20%
99  DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  4%

A17  Some current energy sources – including coal-fired power plants, nuclear reactors, natural gas, oil, and so on – require large amounts of water for mining, drilling, and the actual power generation process. In many cases, water that is not consumed entirely in producing these energy sources is returned to its source warmed or polluted. By contrast, wind and solar power require very little water to generate renewable power.

Which of the following statements do you agree with most? Would you say…
(READ ENTIRE LIST BEFORE RECORDING ONE ANSWER)
[ROTATE 01-02]

01  With all the current concern about drought and the risk of water shortages, America needs to start focusing more on alternative energy sources, such as wind and solar, that require less water (or) 77%
02  Concerns about drought and water shortages should not deter the U.S. from continuing to rely on energy sources – such as coal, nuclear, and natural gas – that require water to produce (or) 19%
99  DON’T KNOW/NOT SURE  4%